arXiv:1405.4631v1 [math.DG] 19 May 2014

REGULARITY OF ANALYTIC TORSION FORM ON FAMILIES OF NORMAL COVERINGS

BING KWAN SO AND GUANGXIANG SU

ABSTRACT. We prove the smoothness of the $\mathcal{L}^2$-analytic torsion form on some fiber bundles with non-compact fibers of positive Novikov-Shubin invariant. We do so by generalizing the arguments of Azzali-Goette-Schick to an appropriate Sobolev space, and proving that the Novikov-Shubin invariant remains positive in the Sobolev settings, using an argument of Alvarez Lopez-Kordyukov.

Bismut-Lott [3] introduced a torsion form on a compact fiber bundle $M \to B$ and a flat vector bundle $E \to M$:

$$(1)\quad \int_0^\infty -F^\wedge(t)+\frac{\mathrm{str}_\Psi(\aleph \Pi_0)}{2}+\big(\frac{\dim(Z)\,\mathrm{rk}(E)\,\mathrm{str}_\Psi(\Pi_0)}{4}-\frac{\mathrm{str}_\Psi(\aleph\Pi_0)}{2}\big)(1-2t)e^{-t}\frac{dt}{t}.$$

One important characteristic of the torsion form is that it satisfies the transgression formula. There has been several attempts to generalize the notion to $\mathcal{L}^2$-torsion forms on fiber bundles with the non-compact fibers. The main technical obstacle is that in the non-compact case the spectrum of the Laplacian operator may be $[0,\infty)$, and the integral in Equation (1) may not converge.

To proceed, one considers the special case where the Novikov-Shubin invariant is (sufficiently) positive. In particular, Gong-Rothenberg [5] defined the analytic torsion form as in (1), and they proved that the torsion is smooth, provided the Novikov-Shubin invariant is at least half of the the base dimension. Heitsch-Lazarov [7] generalized essentially the same arguments to foliations. Subsequently, Azzali-Goette-Schick [1] prove, by direct computation, that the integrands defining the torsion form, as well as several other invariants related to the signature operator, converge provided the Novikov-Shubin invariant is positive (or of acyclic determinant class). However, they did not prove the smoothness of the $\mathcal{L}^2$-analytic torsion form, but left the problem open [1, Section 6.3]. To consider transgression formulas, they had to use weak derivatives.

The objective of this paper is to establish the regularity of the analytic torsion form, in the case when the Novikov-Shubin invariant is positive.

We achieve this result by generalizing Azzali-Goette-Schick's arguments to some Sobolev spaces. In Section 1, we define such Sobolev norms on the spaces of kernels on the fibered product groupoid. Unlike [1], we consider Hilbert-Schmit type norms on the space of smoothing operators. Given a kernel, the Hilbert-Schmit norm can be explicitly written down. As a result, we are able to take into account derivatives in both the fiber-wise and transverse directions, with the help of a splitting similar to [6]. The main result in this section is Corollary 1.14, where we check that for any

Chern Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, China.
e-mail: bkso@graduate.hku.hk (B.K. So), guangxiangsu@gmail.com (G. Su).

smooth bounded operator $A, m = 0, 1, \cdots$, one has the estimate

$$\|\hat{A}\psi\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m} \leq \big(\sum_{0\leq l\leq m} C'_{m,l} \|A\|_{\mathrm{op}\, l} \big) \|\psi\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m},$$

which is an analogue of the key ingredient used in the proof of [1, Theorem 4.2].

Then in section 2, we turn to prove that having positive Novikov-Shubin invariant implies positivity of the Novikov-Shubin invariant in the Sobolev settings. We adapt an argument of Alvarez Lopez-Kordyukov [8]. We remark that [8] requires extra conditions (which are always satisfied for signature operators on fiber bundles), therefore such result is non-trivial.

With these preliminaries in place, we can simply apply [1] in Section 3, and conclude the integral (1) converges in all Sobolev norms, and hence the regularity of the torsion form.

1. Preliminaries

Let $Z \to M \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ be a fiber bundle, $E \xrightarrow{\wp} M$ be a vector bundle. We assume B is compact. For each $x \in B$, write $Z_x := \pi^{-1}(x) \subset M$.

Recall that the 'infinite dimensional bundle' over B in the sense of Bismut is a vector bundle with typical fiber $\Gamma_c^\infty(E|_{Z_x})$ (or other function spaces) over each $x \in B$. We denote by $E_\flat$ such Bismut bundle. The space of smooth sections on $E_\flat$ is, as a vector space, $\Gamma_c^\infty(E)$. Each element $s \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E)$ is regarded as a map

$$x \mapsto s|_{Z_x} \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E|_{Z_x}), \quad \forall\, x \in B.$$

In other words, one defines a section on $E_\flat$ to be smooth, if the images of all $x \in B$ fit together to form an element in $\Gamma_c^\infty(E)$. In the language of non-commutative geometry, one considers $\Gamma_c^\infty(E_\flat)$ as a $C_c^\infty(B)$-module with action

$$(f, s) \mapsto (\pi^* f)s, \quad \forall\, f \in C^\infty(B), s \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E).$$

For any $x \in B$, denote $E_x := E|_{Z_x}$.

Let $V := \mathrm{Ker}(d\pi)$ and fix a splitting $TM = H \oplus V$. Denote by P^V, P^H respectively the projections to V and H. Given any vector field $X \in \Gamma^\infty(TB)$, denote the horizontal lift of X by $X^H \in \Gamma^\infty(H) \subset \Gamma^\infty(TM)$. Note that $[X^H, Y] \in \Gamma^\infty(V)$ for any vertical vector field $Y \in \Gamma^\infty(V)$.

Let ∇^E be a connection on E. Fix Riemannian metrics on M and B and denote respectively by ∇^{TM}, ∇^{TB} the Levi-Civita connections. One naturally defines the connections

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X^{V_\flat} Y &:= [X^H, Y], \quad \forall\, Y \in \Gamma^\infty(V_\flat) \cong \Gamma^\infty(V) \\ \nabla_X^{E_\flat} s &:= \nabla_{X^H}^E s, \quad \forall\, s \in \Gamma^\infty(E_\flat) \cong \Gamma^\infty(E). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.1. The covariant derivative on $E_\flat$ is the map $\dot{\nabla}^{E_\flat} : \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^\bullet T^*B \bigotimes \otimes^\bullet V'_\flat \bigotimes E_\flat) \to \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^{\bullet+1} T^*B \bigotimes \otimes^\bullet V'_\flat \bigotimes E_\flat)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\nabla}^{E_\flat} s(X_0, X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l) :=& \nabla_{X_0}^{E_\flat} s(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l) \\ & - \sum_{j=1}^{l} s(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots, \nabla_{X_0}^{V_\flat} Y_j, \cdots, Y_l) \end{aligned}$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{k} s(X_1, \cdots, \nabla^{TB}_{X_0} X_i, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l),$$

for any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}, X_0, , \cdots X_k \in \Gamma^\infty(TB), Y_1, \cdots Y_l \in \Gamma^\infty(V)$.

Clearly, taking covariant derivative can be iterated, which we denote by $(\dot\nabla^{E_\flat})^m$, $m = 1, 2, \cdots$. Note that $(\dot\nabla^{E_\flat})^m$ is a differential operator of order m.

Also, we define $\dot\partial^V : \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^\bullet T^*B \bigotimes \otimes^\bullet V'_\flat \bigotimes E_\flat) \to \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^\bullet T^*B \bigotimes \otimes^{\bullet+1} V'_\flat \bigotimes E_\flat)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot\partial^V s(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_0, Y_1, \cdots Y_l) :=& \nabla^E_{Y_0} s(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l) \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^{l} s(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots, P^V(\nabla^{TM}_{Y_0} Y_j), \cdots, Y_l). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Remark 1.2. The operators $\dot\nabla^{E_\flat}$ and $\dot\partial^V$ are just respectively the $(0,1)$ and $(1,0)$ parts of the usual covariant derivative operator.

Equip E with a metric $\langle , \rangle_E$. One naturally extends the point-wise inner product to $E', \otimes^\bullet T^*M \bigotimes E$, etc. Recall that, in particular on $E \otimes E'$, one has

$$\langle \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle_{E \otimes E'} = \mathrm{tr}(\psi_1 \psi_2^*),$$

where tr denotes the point-wise matrix trace. We shall denote by $|\cdot|$ the point-wise norm.

We assume further M is a Rienannian manifold with bounded geometry (i.e. there exists a cover by foliated charts such that the metric and all its derivatives are uniformly bounded), and E is a vector bundle with bounded geometry.

Given any $s \in \Gamma^\infty_c(E_\flat)$, regard $(\dot\nabla^{E_\flat})^i (\dot\partial^{E_\flat})^j s$ as a $C^\infty(B)$-linear map from $\otimes^i TB$ to $\otimes^j V'_\flat \bigotimes E_\flat$.

Definition 1.3. Define the m-th Sobolev norm by

$$\|s\|^2_m := \sum_{i+j \le m} \sup_{\substack{X_I \in \otimes^i TB, \\ |X_I|=1}} \int_{y \in Z_x} \left| \left((\dot\nabla^{E_\flat})^i (\dot\partial^V)^j s \right)(X_I) \right|^2 (y) \mu_x(y). \tag{3}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$ be the Sobolev completion of $\Gamma^\infty_c(E)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_m$.

Remark 1.4. Because $|\cdot|$ is a norm and by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it follows $\|\cdot\|_m$ is also a norm.

Let G be a finitely generated discrete group acting on M freely, properly discontinuously, and co-compactly. Let $M_0 := M/G$. Suppose G also acts on B such that for any $p \in M$, $\pi(pg) = \pi(p)g$. For each $x \in B$, denote by G_x the subgroup fixing x, $Z_x := \pi^{-1}(x)$. Since the submersion π is G-invariant, M_0 is also foliated, denote such foliation by V_0. The leaf through each $x \in B$ is given by Z_x/G_x. Fix a distribution $H_0 \subset TM_0$ complementary to V_0, and a Riemannian metric on M_0.

Since the projection form M to M_0 is a local diffeomorphism, one gets a G-invariant splitting $TM = V \oplus H$, where $V = \mathrm{Ker}(d\pi)$, and a G-invariant metric on M. For each $x \in B$, denote by μ_x the Riemannian volume on Z_x.

Definition 1.5. Let $E \xrightarrow{\wp} M$ be a complex vector bundle. We say that E is a contravariant G-bundle if G also acts on E from the right, such that for any $v \in$

$E, g \in G$, $\wp(vg) = \wp(v)g \in M$, and moreover G acts as a linear map between the fibers.

The group G then acts on sections of E from the left by

$$s \mapsto g^* s, \quad (g^* s)(p) := s(pg)g^{-1} \in \wp^{-1}(p), \quad \forall\, p \in M.$$

1.1. **The fibered product groupoid.** Recall that the fibered product groupoid is, as a manifold, $M \times_B M := \{(p, q) \in M \times M : \pi(p) = \pi(q)\}$ and is equipped with groupoid operations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}(p, q) := q, \quad \mathbf{t}(p, q) := p, \quad (p, q)^{-1} := (q, p), \\ (p_1, q_1)(p_2, q_2) :=& (p_1, q_2), \text{ whenever } q_1 = p_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that G acts on $M \times_B M$ by the diagonal action

$$(p, q)g := (pg, qg).$$

The manifold $M \times_B M$ is a fiber bundle over B, with typical fiber $Z \times Z$.

Notation 1.6. With some abuse in notations, we shall often write elements in $M \times_B M$ as a triple (x, y, z), where $x \in B, y, z \in Z_x$. We let $\mathbf{s}(x, y, z) = (x, z), \mathbf{t}(x, y, z) = (x, y) \in M$.

One naturally has the splitting [6, Section 2]

$$T(M \times_B M) = \hat{H} \oplus V_{\mathbf{t}} \oplus V_{\mathbf{s}},$$

where $V_{\mathbf{s}} := \mathrm{Ker}(d\mathbf{t}), V_{\mathbf{t}} := \mathrm{Ker}(d\mathbf{s})$. Note that $V_{\mathbf{s}} \cong \mathbf{s}^{-1}V, V_{\mathbf{t}} \cong \mathbf{t}^{-1}(V)$, hence the notation.

Let $E \to M$ be a contravariant G-vector bundle. We denote

$$\hat{E} \to M \times_B M := \mathbf{t}^{-1}E \otimes \mathbf{s}^{-1}E',$$

where E' is the dual of E. Given a G-invariant connection ∇^E on E, let

$$\nabla^{\hat{E}} := \nabla^{\mathbf{t}^{-1}E} + \nabla^{\mathbf{s}^{-1}E'}$$

be the tensor sum of the pullback connections. Here, recall that, fixing any local base $\{e_1, \cdots e_r\}$ of E' on some $U \subset M$, any section can be written as

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^{r} u_i \otimes \mathbf{s}^* e_i$$

on $\mathbf{s}^{-1}(U)$, where $u_i \in \Gamma^\infty(\mathbf{t}^{-1}E)$, and by definition

$$\nabla_X^{\hat{E}} \sum_{i=1}^{r} u_i \otimes \mathbf{s}^* e_i = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (\nabla_X^{\mathbf{t}^{-1}E} u_i) \otimes \mathbf{s}^* e_i + u_i \otimes \mathbf{s}^* (\nabla_{d\mathbf{s}(X)}^{E'} e_i).$$

For any vectors X on M.

Similar to Equation (2), define the operators on $\Gamma^\infty(\otimes^\bullet T^*B \bigotimes \otimes^\bullet (V_{\mathbf{t}}')_\flat \bigotimes \otimes^\bullet (V_{\mathbf{s}}')_\flat \bigotimes \hat{E}_\flat)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_\flat} \psi(X_0, X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l, Z_1, \cdots Z_{l'}) \\ &:= \nabla_{X_0}^{\hat{E}_\flat} \psi(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l, Z_1, \cdots Z_{l'}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{1 \le j \le l} \psi(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots, \nabla_{X_0}^{V_\flat} Y_j, \cdots, Y_l, Z_1, \cdots Z_{l'}) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \sum_{1\le j\le l'} \psi(X_1,\cdots X_k; Y_1,\cdots, Y_l, Z_1,\cdots, \nabla^{V_\flat}_{X_0} Z_j,\cdots, Z_{l'}) \\
&- \sum_{1\le i\le k} \psi(X_1,\cdots, \nabla^{TB}_{X_0} X_i,\cdots, X_k; Y_1,\cdots Y_l, Z_1,\cdots Z_{l'})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\dot\partial^{\mathbf{s}}\psi(X_1,\cdots X_k; Y_0, Y_1,\cdots Y_l, Z_1,\cdots Z_{l'}) \\
&:= \nabla^{\hat E}_{Y_0}\psi(X_1,\cdots X_k; Y_1,\cdots Y_l, Z_1,\cdots Z_{l'}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1\le j\le l} \psi(X_1,\cdots X_k; Y_1,\cdots, P^{V^{\mathbf{s}}}(\nabla^{TM}_{Y_0} Y_j),\cdots, Y_l, Z_1,\cdots Z_{l'}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1\le j\le l'} \psi(X_1,\cdots X_k; Y_1,\cdots Y_l, Z_1,\cdots, P^{V^{\mathbf{t}}}[Y_0, Z_j],\cdots, Z_{l'})
\end{aligned} \tag{5}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\dot\partial^{\mathbf{t}}\psi(X_1,\cdots X_k; Y_1,\cdots Y_l, Z_0, Z_1,\cdots Z_{l'}) \\
&:= \nabla^{\hat E}_{Y_0}\psi(X_1,\cdots X_k; Y_1,\cdots Y_l, Z_0, Z_1,\cdots Z_{l'}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1\le j\le l} \psi(X_1,\cdots X_k; Y_1,\cdots, P^{V^{\mathbf{s}}}[Z_0, Y_j],\cdots, Y_l, Z_1,\cdots Z_{l'}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1\le j\le l'} \psi(X_1,\cdots X_k; Y_1,\cdots Y_l, Z_1,\cdots, P^{V^{\mathbf{t}}}(\nabla^{TM}_{Z_0} Z_j),\cdots, Z_{l'}).
\end{aligned} \tag{6}
$$

Given any vector fields $Y, Z \in V$. Let $Y^{\mathbf{s}}, Z^{\mathbf{t}}$ be respectively the lifts of Y and Z to $V^{\mathbf{s}}$ and $V^{\mathbf{t}}$. Then $[Y^{\mathbf{s}}, Z^{\mathbf{t}}] = 0$. It follows that as differential operators,

$$[\dot\partial^{\mathbf{s}}, \dot\partial^{\mathbf{t}}] = 0.$$

Also, it is straightforward to verify that

$$[\dot\nabla^{\hat E_\flat}, \dot\partial^{\mathbf{s}}] \text{ and } [\dot\nabla^{\hat E_\flat}, \dot\partial^{\mathbf{t}}]$$

are both zeroth order differential operators (i.e. smooth bundle maps).

Fix a local trivialization

$$\mathbf{x}_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(B_\alpha) \to B_\alpha \times Z, \quad p \mapsto (\pi(p), \varphi^\alpha(p))$$

where $B = \bigcup_\alpha B_\alpha$ is a finite open cover, and $\varphi^\alpha|_{\pi^{-1}(x)} : Z_x \to Z$ is a diffeomorphism. Such a trivialization induces a local trivialization of the fiber bundle $M \times_B M \xrightarrow{\mathbf{t}} M$ by $M = \bigcup M_\alpha, M_\alpha := \pi^{-1}(B_\alpha)$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha : \mathbf{t}^{-1}(M_\alpha) \to M_\alpha \times Z, \quad (p, q) \mapsto (p, \varphi^\alpha(q)).$$

On $M_\alpha \times Z$ the source and target maps are explicitly given by

$$\mathbf{s} \circ (\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha)^{-1}(p, z) = (\mathbf{x}_\alpha)^{-1}(\pi(p), z), \text{ and } \mathbf{t} \circ (\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha)^{-1}(p, z) = p. \tag{7}$$

To such trivialization, one has the natural splitting

$$T(M_\alpha \times Z) = H^\alpha \oplus V^\alpha \oplus TZ,$$

where H^α and V^α are respectively H and V restricted to $M_\alpha \times \{z\}$. $z \in Z$. It follows form (7) that

$$V^\alpha = d\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha(V^{\mathbf{s}}), \quad TZ = d\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha(V^{\mathbf{t}}).$$

As for vector fields along $\hat{H}$, given any vector field X on B, let $X^H, X^{\hat{H}}$ be respectively the lifts of X to H and $\hat{H}$. Since $d\mathbf{t}(X^{\hat{H}}) = d\mathbf{s}(X^{\hat{H}}) = X^H$, it follows that

$$d\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha(X^{\hat{H}}) = X^{H^\alpha} + d\varphi^\alpha(X^H).$$

Note that $d\varphi^\alpha(X^H) \in TZ \subseteq T(M_\alpha \times Z)$.

Corresponding to the splitting $T(M_\alpha \times Z) = H^\alpha \oplus V^\alpha \oplus TZ$, one defines the covariant derivative operators. Let ∇^{TM_α} be the Levi-Civita connection on M pulled back to M_α, and fix a Levi-Civita connection ∇^{TZ} on Z. Define for any smooth section $\phi \in \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^\bullet T^*B \bigotimes \otimes^\bullet (V^\alpha)'_\flat \bigotimes \otimes^\bullet TZ_\flat \bigotimes \hat{E}_\flat)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dot{\nabla}^\alpha \phi(X_0, X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l, Z_1, \cdots Z_{l'}) \\
&:= (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^* \nabla^{\hat{E}_\flat})_{X_0^{H^\alpha}} \phi(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l, Z_1, \cdots Z_{l'}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \le j \le l} \phi(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots, [X_0^{H^\alpha}, Y_j], \cdots, Y_l, , Z_1, \cdots Z_{l'}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \le j \le l'} \phi(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots, Y_l, Z_1, \cdots, [X_0^{H^\alpha} Z_j], \cdots, Z_{l'}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \le i \le k} \phi(X_1, \cdots, \nabla^{TB}_{X_0} X_i, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l, Z_1, \cdots Z_{l'})
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dot{\partial}^\alpha \phi(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_0, Y_1, \cdots Y_l, Z_1, \cdots Z_{l'}) \\
&:= (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^* \nabla^{\hat{E}_\flat})_{Y_0} \phi(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l, Z_1, \cdots Z_{l'}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \le j \le l} \phi(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots, P^{V^\alpha}(\nabla^{TM_\alpha}_{Y_0} Y_j), \cdots, Y_l, Z_1, \cdots Z_{l'}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \le j \le l'} \phi(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l, Z_1, \cdots, P^{TZ}[Y_0, Z_j], \cdots, Z_{l'})
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dot{\partial}^Z \phi(X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l, Z_0, Z_1, \cdots Z_{l'}) \\
&:= (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^* \nabla^{\hat{E}_\flat})_{Z_0} \phi(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l, Z_0, Z_1, \cdots Z_{l'}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \le j \le l} \phi(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots, P^{V^\alpha}[Z_0, Y_j], \cdots, Y_l, Z_1, \cdots Z_{l'}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \le j \le l'} \phi(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l, Z_1, \cdots, \nabla^{TZ}_{Z_0} Z_j, \cdots, Z_{l'}).
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Remark 1.7. If $Z_1, \cdots Z_{l'}$ are vector fields on Z, lifted to $M_\alpha \times Z$, then

$$\dot{\partial}^\alpha \phi(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_0, Y_1, \cdots Y_l, Z_1, \cdots Z_{l'}) = \dot{\partial}^V \phi(X_1, \cdots X_k; Y_0, Y_1, \cdots Y_l, Z_1, \cdots Z_{l'}).$$

We express the (pullback of) the covariant derivatives $\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_\flat}\psi, \dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{s}}\psi, \dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{t}}\psi$ in terms of $\dot{\nabla}^\alpha \psi^\alpha$, $\dot{\partial}^\alpha \psi^\alpha$, and $\dot{\partial}^Z \psi^\alpha$, where $\psi^\alpha := (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^{-1})^* \psi$. One directly verifies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dot{\nabla}^{E_\flat}\psi(X_0, X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l, Z_1, \cdots, Z_{l'}) \\
&= (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^{-1})^* \Big(\nabla^\alpha_{(X_0^{H^\alpha} + d\varphi^\alpha(X_0^H))} \psi^\alpha(X_1, \cdots, X_k; d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(Y_1, \cdots Y_l, Z_1, \cdots, Z_{l'})) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \le j \le l} \psi^\alpha(X_1, \cdots X_k; d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Y_1, \cdots, [X_0^{H^\alpha}, d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Y_j], \cdots, d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Y_l, d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(Z_1, \cdots, Z_{l'}))
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \sum_{1\le j\le l'} \psi^\alpha(X_1,\cdots X_k; d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(Y_1,\cdots,Y_l), d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_1,\\
&\qquad \cdots, [X_0^{H^\alpha} + d\varphi^\alpha(X_0^H), d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_j], \cdots, d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_{l'})\\
&- \sum_{1\le i\le k} \psi^\alpha(X_1,\cdots,\nabla^{TB}_{X_0} X_i,\cdots,X_k; Y_1,\cdots,Y_l,Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})\Big)\\
&= (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^{-1})^*\Big(\dot\nabla^\alpha\psi^\alpha(X_0,X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots Y_l,Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})\\
&+\dot\partial^Z\psi^\alpha(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots Y_l,d\varphi^\alpha(X_0^H),Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})\\
&+ \sum_{1\le j\le l'} \psi^\alpha(X_1,\cdots X_k; d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(Y_1,\cdots,Y_l), d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_1,\\
&\qquad \cdots, (\nabla^{TZ} d\varphi^\alpha(X_0^H))(d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_j), \cdots, d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_{l'})\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying similar computations to $\dot\partial^{\mathbf{s}}$ and $\dot\partial^{\mathbf{t}}$, one gets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(12)\qquad &\dot\partial^{\mathbf{s}}\psi(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_0,Y_1,\cdots Y_l,Z_1,\cdots Z_{l'})\\
&\qquad = (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^{-1})^*\big(\dot\partial^\alpha\psi^\alpha(X_1,\cdots X_k; d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(Y_0,Y_1,\cdots Y_l,Z_1,\cdots Z_{l'})\big)\\
(13)\qquad &\dot\partial^{\mathbf{t}}\psi(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots Y_l,Z_0,Z_1,\cdots Z_{l'})\\
&\qquad = (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^{-1})^*\big(\nabla^\alpha_{d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(Z_0)}\psi^\alpha(X_1,\cdots X_k; d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(Y_1,\cdots Y_l,Z_1,\cdots Z_{l'}))\\
&\qquad\quad + \sum_{1\le j\le l'} \psi^\alpha(X_1,\cdots X_k; d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(Y_1,\cdots Y_l), d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_1,\\
&\qquad\qquad \cdots, (\nabla^{TZ}_{d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_0} d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_j - d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(P^{V^{\mathbf{t}}}\nabla^{TM}_{Z_0} Z_j), \cdots, d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_{l'})\big).
\end{aligned}
$$

1.2. **Smoothing operators.** For any $(x,y,z)\in M\times_B M$, let $\mathbf{d}(x,y,z)$ be the Riemannian distance between $y,z\in Z_x$. We regard $\mathbf{d}$ as a continuous, non-negative function on $M\times_B M$.

Definition 1.8. (See [10]). As a vector space,

$$
\Psi^{-\infty}_\infty(M\times_B M,E) := \left\{ \psi\in\Gamma^\infty(\hat E): \begin{array}{l} \text{For any } m\in\mathbb{N},\varepsilon>0,\exists C_m>0\\ \text{such that } \forall\, i+j+k\le m, X_I\in\otimes^i TB,\\ |(\dot\nabla^{\hat E_\flat})^i(\dot\partial^{V_{\mathbf{s}}})^j(\dot\partial^{V_{\mathbf{t}}})^k\psi(X_I)|\le C_m e^{-\varepsilon\mathbf{d}}\end{array}\right\}.
$$

The convolution product structure on $\Psi^{-\infty}_\infty(M\times_B M,E)$ is defined by

$$
\psi_1\circ\psi_2(x,y,z) := \int_{Z_x}\psi_1(x,y,w)\psi_2(x,w,z)\mu_x(w).
$$

We introduce a Sobolev type generalization of the Hilbert-Schmit norm on $\Psi^{-\infty}_\infty(M\times_B M,E)^G$, the space of G-invariant kernels. Fix a smooth compactly supported function $\chi\in C^\infty_c(M)$, such that

$$
\sum_{g\in G} g^*\chi = 1.
$$

For any G-invariant $\psi\in\Psi^{-\infty}_\infty(M\times_B M,E)^G$, recall that the standard trace of ψ is

$$
\mathrm{tr}_\Psi(\psi)(x) := \int_{z\in Z_x}\chi(x,z)\,\mathrm{tr}(\psi(x,z,z))\mu_x(z)\in C^\infty(B).
$$

The definition does not depend on the choice of χ. The corresponding Hilbert-Schmit norm is

$$\sup_{x\in B}\big(\operatorname{tr}_\Psi(\psi\psi^*)(x)\big)^2=\sup_x\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)\int_{Z_x}\operatorname{tr}(\psi(x,z,y)\psi^*(x,y,z))\mu_x(y)\mu_x(z).$$

Generalizing the above expression to take into account derivatives, we define:

Definition 1.9. The m-th Hilbert-Schmit norm on $\Psi^{-\infty}(M\times_B M,E)^G$ is defined to be

$$\|\psi\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}:=\sum_{i+j+k\le m}\sup_{\substack{X_I\in\otimes^i TB,\\ |X_I|=1}}\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)\int_{Z_x}\big|(\dot\nabla^{\hat E_\flat})^i(\dot\partial^{\mathbf{s}})^j(\dot\partial^{\mathbf{t}})^k\psi(X_I)\big|^2(x,y,z)\mu_x(y)\mu_x(z),$$

for any G-invariant elements ψ. Let $\bar\Psi^{-\infty}_m(M\times_B M,E)$ be the completion of $\Psi^{-\infty}_\infty(M\times_B M,E)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}$.

1.3. **Fiber-wise operators.**

Definition 1.10. A fiber-wise operator is a linear operator $A:\Gamma^\infty_c(E_\flat)\to\mathcal{W}^0(E)$ such that for all $x\in B$, and any sections $s_1,s_2\in\Gamma^\infty_c(E_\flat)$

$$(As_1)(x)=(As_2)(x),$$

whenever $s_1(x)=s_2(x)$.

We say that A is smooth if $A(\Gamma^\infty_c(E))\subseteq\Gamma^\infty(E)$. A smooth fiber-wise operator A is said to be bounded of order m if A extends to a bounded map form $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$ to itself.

Denote the operator norm of $A:\mathcal{W}^m(E)\to\mathcal{W}^m(E)$ by $\|A\|_{\mathrm{op}\,m}$.

Let A be a smooth fiber-wise operator on $\Gamma^\infty_c(E_\flat)$. Then A induces a fiber-wise operator $\hat A$ on $\Gamma^\infty_c(\hat E_\flat)$ by

$$\hat A(u\otimes\mathbf{s}^*e):=A(u|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}})\otimes(\mathbf{s}^*e)\tag{14}$$

on $\mathbf{t}^{-1}(M_\alpha)\cong M_\alpha\times Z$, for any sections $e\in\Gamma^\infty(E')$, $u\in\Gamma^\infty(\mathbf{t}^{-1}E)$ and $\psi=u\otimes\mathbf{s}^*e\in\Gamma^\infty_c(\hat E)$.

Note that A is independent of trivialization since A is fiber-wise, and for any α,β and $z\in Z$, the transition function $\mathbf{x}_\beta\circ(\mathbf{x}_\alpha)^{-1}$ maps the sub-manifold $Z_x\times\{z\}$ to $Z_x\times\{\varphi^\beta_x\circ(\varphi^\alpha_x)^{-1}(z)\}$ as the identity diffeomorphism.

Remark 1.11. An example of smooth fiber-wise operators is $\Psi^{-\infty}_\infty(M\times_B M,E)$, acting on $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$ by vector representation. Then the convolution product is equivalent to Equation (14). Indeed, our definition (14) is a straightforward generalization of [10], where the authors considered fiber-wise pseudo-differnetial operators, and order $-\infty$ operators, i.e. $\Psi^{-\infty}_\infty(M\times_B M,E)$ is a special case.

Suppose that A is smooth and bounded of order m for all $m\in\mathbb{N}$. Consider the covariant derivatives of $\hat A\psi^\alpha$.

Theorem 1.12. *Given any fixed co-compact subset $U\subseteq Z$, one has the estimates for any $(x,z)\in B_\alpha\times U$:*

$$\int_{y\in Z_x}|\dot\nabla^\alpha\hat A\psi^\alpha|^2(x,y,z)\mu_x(y)$$

$$\leq (C_{1,1}\|A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,1} + C_{1,0}\|A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,0}) \int_{y\in Z_x} |\dot\nabla^\alpha \psi^\alpha|^2 + |\dot\partial^\alpha \psi^\alpha|^2 + |\psi^\alpha|^2 \mu_x(y)$$

$$\int_{y\in Z_x} |\dot\partial^\alpha \hat A \psi^\alpha|^2(x,y,z)\mu_x(y)$$

$$\leq (C_{1,1}\|A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,1} + C_{1,0}\|A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,0}) \int_{y\in Z_x} |\dot\nabla^\alpha \psi^\alpha|^2 + |\dot\partial^\alpha \psi^\alpha|^2 + |\psi^\alpha|^2 \mu_x(y)$$

$$\int_{y\in Z_x} |\dot\partial^Z \hat A \psi^\alpha|^2(x,y,z)\mu_x(y) \leq \|A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,0} \int_{y\in Z_x} |\dot\partial^Z \psi^\alpha|^2 \mu_x(y),$$

for some constants $C_{1,1}, C_{0,0} > 0$. Note that here, $Z_x \subset M_\alpha \times \{z\}$.

Proof. Let $Z = \bigcup_\lambda Z_\lambda$ be a locally finite cover. Then $U \subseteq \bigcup_\lambda Z_\lambda$ for some finite sub-cover. Without loss of generality we may assume $E|_{Z_\lambda}$ are all trivial. For each λ fix an orthonormal basis $\{e_r^\lambda\}$ of $E'|_{B_\alpha \times Z_\lambda}$.

Consider $\dot\nabla^\alpha(\hat A\psi^\alpha)(X_0,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,Y_l,Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})$. It suffices to restrict to the case when $Y_j, Z_{j'}$ are respectively vector fields on M_α and Z, lifted to $M_\alpha \times Z$. From this assumption it follows that $[Y_j, Z_{j'}] = [X_0^{H^\alpha}, Z_{j'}] = 0$. Write

$$\psi^\alpha(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,Y_l,Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})\big|_{M_\alpha\times Z_\lambda} := \sum_r u_r^\lambda(X_1,\cdots,X_k)\otimes \mathbf{s}^* e_r^\lambda.$$

Then for any $|X_0| = \cdots = |X_k| = 1$,

$$\dot\nabla^\alpha(\hat A\psi^\alpha)(X_0,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,Y_l,Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})\big|_{M_\alpha\times Z_\lambda}(x,y,z)$$
$$= \sum_r (\dot\nabla^{E_\flat}_{X_0^H} A(u_r^\lambda|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}})(X_1,\cdots,X_k)(x,y))\otimes \mathbf{s}^* e_r^\lambda + (Au_r^\lambda)\otimes \mathbf{s}^*(\nabla^E_{X_0^H} e_r^\lambda).$$

Taking point-wise norm and integrating, we get for any $(x,y,z)\in M_\alpha\times Z_\lambda$:

$$\int \Big|\dot\nabla^\alpha(\hat A\psi^\alpha)(X_0,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,Y_l,Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})\big|_{M_\alpha\times Z_\lambda}(x,y,z)\Big|^2 \mu_x(y)$$

$$\leq 2\,\mathrm{rk}(E)\sum_r \int \big|(\dot\nabla^{E_\flat}_{X_0^H} A(u_r^\lambda|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}})(X_1,\cdots,X_k)(x,y))\otimes \mathbf{s}^* e_r^\lambda\big|^2$$
$$+ \big|(Au_r^\lambda)\otimes \mathbf{s}^*(\nabla^E_{X_0^H} e_r^\lambda)\big|^2 \mu_x(y)$$

$$\leq 2\,\mathrm{rk}(E)\sum_r \Big(\|A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,1}\int \big|\dot\nabla^{E_\flat} u_r^\lambda|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}}\big|^2(X_0,\cdots,X_k)(x,y)$$
$$+\big(\big|\dot\partial^V u_r^\lambda|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}}\big|^2 + \big|u_r^\lambda|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}}\big|^2\big)(X_1,\cdots,X_k)(x,y)\mu_x(y)$$
$$+(\sup|\nabla^E e_r^\lambda|^2)\|A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,0}\int \big|u_r^\lambda|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}}\big|^2\mu_x(y)\Big)$$

$$\leq 2\,\mathrm{rk}(E)\|A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,1}\int \sum_r \Big(\big|\dot\nabla^{E_\flat}(u_r^\lambda|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}})\otimes \mathbf{s}^* e_r^\lambda\big|^2(X_0,\cdots,X_k)$$
$$+\big(\big|\dot\partial^V u_r^\lambda|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}}\otimes \mathbf{s}^* e_r^\lambda\big|^2 + \big|u_r^\lambda|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}}\otimes \mathbf{s}^* e_r^\lambda\big|^2\big)(X_1,\cdots,X_k)\Big)\mu_x(y)$$
$$+2\,\mathrm{rk}(E)(\sum_r \sup|\nabla^E e_r^\lambda|^2)\|A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,0}\int \sum_r \big|u_r^\lambda|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}}\otimes \mathbf{s}^* e_r^\lambda\big|^2\mu_x(y)$$

$$\leq 4\,\mathrm{rk}(E)\|A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,1}\int \big|\dot\nabla^{\hat E_\flat}\psi^\alpha\big|^2(X_0,\cdots,X_k) + \big(\big|\dot\partial^\alpha\psi^\alpha\big|^2 + \big|\psi^\alpha\big|^2\big)(X_1,\cdots,X_k)$$

$$+\sum_r |u_r^\lambda|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}}|^2(\sup|\nabla^E e_r^\lambda|^2)\mu_x(y)$$

$$+2\,\mathrm{rk}(E)(\sum_r \sup|\nabla^E e_r^\lambda|^2)\|A\|_{\mathrm{op}\,0}^2\int|\psi^\alpha|^2\mu_x(y),$$

where in the last line we used

$$\nabla^{\mathbf{t}^{-1}E}_{X_0^{H^\alpha}}\otimes\mathrm{id}(\psi^\alpha)=\sum_r(\nabla^E_{X_0^H}u_r^\lambda)\otimes\mathbf{s}^*e_r^\lambda,$$

and $\{\mathbf{s}^*e_r^\lambda\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbf{s}^*E'$. The first inequality follows after obvious rearrangements and taking supremum over all patches. Using the same arguments with $\dot\partial^\alpha$ in place of $\dot\nabla^\alpha$, one gets the second inequality.

As for the last inequality, since $\mathbf{t}^{-1}E|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}}$ and the connection $(\mathbf{x}_\alpha^{-1})^*\nabla^{\mathbf{s}^{-1}E}$ is trivial along $\exp tZ_0$, one can write

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^\alpha_{Z_0}(\hat Au\otimes\mathbf{s}^*e)=&\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}Au|_{M_\alpha\times\{\exp tZ\}}\otimes\mathbf{s}^*e+u\otimes\nabla^{\mathbf{s}^{-1}E'}_{Z_0}\mathbf{s}^*e\\ =&A\big(\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}u|_{M_\alpha\times\{\exp tZ\}}\big)\otimes\mathbf{s}^*e+u\otimes\nabla^{\mathbf{s}^{-1}E'}_{Z_0}\mathbf{s}^*e=\hat A(\nabla^\alpha_{Z_0}(u\otimes\mathbf{s}^*e)).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\dot\partial^Z\hat A\psi^\alpha=\hat A(\dot\partial^Z\psi^\alpha),$$

and from which the third inequality follows. □

Using Equations (11), (12), (13), Theorem 1.12, and the observation that the tensors are bounded on compact subsets, it follows that estimates similar to Theorem 1.12 holds for the operators $\dot\nabla^{\hat E_\flat},\dot\partial^{\mathbf{s}},\dot\partial^{\mathbf{t}}$ for any smooth bounded operator $\hat A$. Integrating with respect to $z\in Z$ (note that the domain of integration is compact), one arrives at

Corollary 1.13. *For any smooth bounded G-invariant operators A, $\psi\in\Psi^{-\infty}_\infty(M\times_B M)^G$, $\hat A\psi\in\Psi^{-\infty}_\infty(M\times_B M)^G$ and one has*

$$\|\hat A\psi\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,1}\le(C'_{1,1}\|A\|_{\mathrm{op}\,1}+C'_{1,0}\|A\|_{\mathrm{op}\,0})\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,1},$$

for some constants $C'_{1,1},C'_{0,0}>0$.

Clearly, the arguments leading to Corollary 1.13 can be repeated, and we obtain:

Corollary 1.14. *For any smooth bounded operator $\hat A, m=0,1,\cdots,$*

$$\|\hat A\psi\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}\le\big(\sum_{0\le l\le m}C'_{m,l}\|A\|_{\mathrm{op}\,l}\big)\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m},$$

for some constants $C'_{m,l}>0$.

2. Large time behavior of the heat kernel

2.1. **Example: The Bismut super-connection.** Let $M\to B$ be a fiber bundle with a G action, and $TM=H\oplus V$ be a G-invariant splitting, as in the last section. We shall further assume the metric on $H\cong\pi^{-1}TB$ is given by the pull back some Riemannian metric on B. In other words, V is a Riemannian foliation.

Let $E\to M$ be a flat, contravariant G-vector bundle, and ∇ be a flat connection on E.

Definition 2.1. Let Θ be the V-valued horizontal 2-form defined by

$$\Theta(X_1^H, X_2^H) := -P_V[X_1^H, X_2^H], \quad \forall\, X_1, X_2 \in \Gamma^\infty(TB),$$

where P_V denotes the projection onto V. Define ι_Θ to be the contraction with Θ.

Since the vertical distribution V is integrable, the deRham differential d_V along V is well defined.

Definition 2.2. A standard flat Bismut super-connection is an operator of the form

$$d := d_V + \nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V_\flat' \otimes E_\flat} + \iota_\Theta.$$

Remark 2.3. The operator d is just the DeRham differential. However, the grading, the identification $\wedge^\bullet H' \otimes \wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E \cong \wedge^\bullet T^*M \otimes E$, and the splitting into Bismut super-connection is not canonical.

The adjoint connection of $d_V + \nabla^{V_\flat' \otimes E_\flat} + \iota_\Theta$ is

$$d^* = d_V^* + (\nabla^{V_\flat' \otimes E_\flat})^* + \Lambda_{\Theta^*}.$$

Let ς_H, ς_V be respectively the Hodge star operators on $\wedge^\bullet H'$ and $\wedge^\bullet V'$. Define the zeroth order operator

$$R(\omega \otimes \eta \otimes s) := \sum_i \omega \wedge \zeta_i \otimes [\nabla_{X_i}^{V_\flat' \otimes E_\flat}, \varsigma_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_E](\varsigma_V \eta) \otimes s,$$

for any sections ω, η, s of $\wedge^\bullet H', \wedge^\bullet V', E$ respectively, where $\{X_i\}$ is any local orthonormal frame of H and $\{\zeta_i\}$ is dual. It is straightforward to verify:

Lemma 2.4. [8, Proposition 3.1] *One has*

$$\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V_\flat' \otimes E_\flat} d_V + d_V \nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V_\flat' \otimes E_\flat} = 0$$
$$\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V_\flat' \otimes E_\flat} d_V^* + d_V^* \nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V_\flat' \otimes E_\flat} = R d_V^* + d_V^* R.$$

2.2. **The regularity result of Alvarez Lopez and Kordyukov.** As in [8], we make the more general assumption that there exists a transversally elliptic uniformly bounded first order differential operator Q, and zero degree operators R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, all G-invariant, such that

$$\begin{aligned} Q d_V + d_V Q &= R_1 d_V + d_V R_2 \\ Q d_V^* + d_V^* Q &= R_3 d_V^* + d_V^* R_4. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Clearly, in our example, the operators considered in Lemma 2.4 satisfy Equation (15).

Write $D_0 := d_V + d_V^*, \Delta := D_0^2$, and denote by $\Pi_0, \Pi_{d_V}, \Pi_{d_V^*}$ respectively the orthogonal projection onto $\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta), \mathrm{Rg}(d_V), \mathrm{Rg}(d_V^*)$.

In this section, we shall consider the operators

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &:= R_1 \Pi_{d_V} + R_3 \Pi_{d_V^*} \\ B_2 &:= \Pi_{d_V^*} R_2 + \Pi_{d_V} R_4 \\ B &:= B_2 \Pi_0 + B_1(\mathrm{id} - \Pi_0). \end{aligned}$$

We recall some elementary formulas regarding these operators from [8]:

Lemma 2.5. [8, Lemma 2.2] *One has*

$$\begin{aligned} Qd_V + d_V Q =& B_1 d_V + d_V B_2 \\ Qd_V^* + d_V^* Q =& B_1 d_V^* + d_V^* B_2 \\ [Q, \Delta] =& B_1 \Delta - \Delta B_2 - D_0(B_1 - B_2) D_0. \end{aligned}$$

Here, recall [5, Theorem 2.2] that, the projection operator Π_0 is represented by a smooth kernel. Moreover since $\Pi_0 = \Pi_0^2$, one has

$$\|\Pi_0\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}^2 = \int \chi(x,z)\,\mathrm{tr}(\Pi_0(x,z,z))\mu_x(y) < \infty,$$

therefore $\Pi_0 \in \bar{\Psi}_0^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, \wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E)$. One can furthermore estimate the derivatives of Π_0. First, recall that

Lemma 2.6. *One has* [8, Corollary 2.8]

$$[Q + B, \Pi_0] = 0.$$

Proof. Here we give a different proof. From definition we have

$$B = (\Pi_{d^*} R_2 + \Pi_d R_4)\Pi_0 + R_1 \Pi_d + R_3 \Pi_{d^*},$$

where we used $\Pi_d \Pi_0 = \Pi_{d^*}\Pi_0 = 0$. Hence

$$B\Pi_0 - \Pi_0 B = (\Pi_{d^*} R_2 + \Pi_d R_4)\Pi_0 - \Pi_0 R_1 \Pi_d - \Pi_0 R_3 \Pi_{d^*}.$$

For any s one has

$$\Pi_d s = \lim_{n\to\infty} d\tilde{s}_n,$$

for some sequence $\tilde{s}_n$ (in some suitable function spaces). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_0 R_1 \Pi_d s &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \Pi_0 R_1 d\tilde{s}_1 \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \Pi_0 (Qd + dQ - dR_2)\tilde{s}_1 = \Pi_0 Q \Pi_d s. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one has $\Pi_0 R_3 \Pi_{d^*} = \Pi_0 Q \Pi_{d^*}$ and by considering the adjoint $\Pi_{d^*} R_2 \Pi_0 = \Pi_{d^*} Q \Pi_0$, and $\Pi_{d^*} R_4 \Pi_0 = \Pi_{d^*} Q \Pi_0$. It follows that

$$[Q + B, \Pi_0] = (\mathrm{id} - \Pi_d - \Pi_{d^*}) Q \Pi_0 - \Pi_0 Q (\mathrm{id} - \Pi_d - \Pi_{d^*}) = 0. \qquad \square$$

In other words, $\|[Q, \Pi_0]\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} = \|[B, \Pi_0]\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}$, provided the right hand side is finite. Hence, using elliptic regularity, one can prove inductively that

$$\Pi_0 \in \bar{\Psi}_m^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, \wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E), \quad \forall\, m.$$

Next, we recall the main result of [8]

Lemma 2.7. *For any $m = 0, 1, \cdots$,*

(1) The heat kernel $e^{-t\Delta}$, and the operators $D_0 e^{-t\Delta}, \Delta e^{-t\Delta}$ map $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$ to itself as a bounded operator, moreover, there exist constants $C_m^0, C_m^1, C_m^2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-t\Delta}\|_{\mathrm{op}\,m} \leq& C_m^0 \\ \|D_0 e^{-t\Delta}\|_{\mathrm{op}\,m} \leq& t^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_m^1 \\ \|\Delta e^{-t\Delta}\|_{\mathrm{op}\,m} \leq& t^{-1} C_m^2, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t > 0$.

(2) *As $t \to \infty$, $e^{-t\Delta}$ strongly converges as an operator on $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$, moreover $(t,s) \mapsto e^{-t\Delta}s$ is a continuous map form $[0,\infty] \times \mathcal{W}^m(E)$ to $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$;*
(3) *One has the Hodge decomposition*

$$\mathcal{W}^m(E) = \mathrm{Ker}(\Delta) + \overline{\mathrm{Rg}(\Delta)} = \mathrm{Ker}(D_0) + \overline{\mathrm{Rg}(D_0)},$$

where the kernel, image and closure are in $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$.

Note that the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{op}\,m}$ is different from that of [8], but the same arguments apply.

We recall more results in [8, Section 2].

Lemma 2.8. *For any first order differential operator A, one has the Duhamel type formula*

$$[A, e^{-t\Delta}] = -\int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\Delta}[A,\Delta]e^{-t'\Delta}dt'. \tag{16}$$

In particular if A is uniformly bounded, then $[A, e^{-t\Delta}] \in \Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)$.

For the proof of Equation (16), see [6] (and observe that A is a composition of bundle maps and differentiation along the base). Observe that $[A,\Delta]$ is a fiber-wise differential operator, which is uniformly bounded provided A is uniformly bounded. Therefore the off-diagonal estimate for the heat kernel implies $[A, e^{-t\Delta}] \in \Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)$.

Lemma 2.9. [8, Lemma 2.4] *For all m*

$$\|[Q, e^{-t\Delta}]\|_{\mathrm{op}\,m} \leq C_m^3,$$

for some constants $C_m^3 > 0$.

Proof. Using the third equation of Lemma 2.5, Equation (16) becomes

$$[Q, e^{-t\Delta}] = \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\Delta}D_0(B_1 - B_2)D_0e^{-t'\Delta}dt' - \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\Delta}(B_1\Delta - \Delta B_2)e^{-t'\Delta}dt'.$$

Using Lemma 2.7, we estimate the first integral

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\Delta}D_0(B_1 - B_2)D_0e^{-t'\Delta}dt'\Big\|_{\mathrm{op}\,m} \leq& \|B_1 - B_2\|_{\mathrm{op}\,m}(C_m^1)^2 \int_0^t \frac{dt'}{\sqrt{(t-t')t'}} \\ =& \|B_1 - B_2\|_{\mathrm{op}\,m}(C_m^1)^2\pi.\end{aligned}$$

As for the second integral, we split the domain of integration into $[0, \frac{t}{2}]$ and $[\frac{t}{2}, t]$, and then integrate by part to get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\Delta}(B_1\Delta - \Delta B_2)e^{-t'\Delta}dt' =& \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-(t-t')\Delta}\Delta(-B_1 - B_2)e^{-t'\Delta}dt' \\ &- \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-(t-t')\Delta}(B_1 - B_2)\Delta e^{-t'\Delta}dt' \\ &+ e^{-(t-t')\Delta}B_1e^{-t'\Delta}\Big|_{t'=0}^{\frac{t}{2}} - e^{-(t-t')\Delta}B_2e^{-t'\Delta}\Big|_{t'=\frac{t}{2}}^{t}.\end{aligned}$$

Again using Lemma 2.5, its $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{op}\,m}$-norm is bounded by

$$C_m^0 C_m^1(\|B_1\|_{\mathrm{op}\,m}+\|B_2\|_{\mathrm{op}\,m})\Big(\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}}\frac{dt'}{t-t'}+\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t\frac{dt'}{t'}\Big)+C_m^0(C_m^0+1)(\|B_1\|_{\mathrm{op}\,m}+\|B_2\|_{\mathrm{op}\,m}),$$

which is uniformly bounded because $\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}}\frac{dt'}{t-t'}=\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t\frac{dt'}{t'}=\log 2$. □

Definition 2.10. We say that $M\to B$ has positive Novikov-Shubin invariant if there exists $\gamma>0$ such that for sufficiently large t,

$$\|e^{-t\Delta}-\Pi_0\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}\le C_0 t^{-\gamma}$$

for some constant $C_0>0$.

Remark 2.11. Since $e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}-\Pi_0$ is non-negative, self adjoint and $(e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}-\Pi_0)^2=e^{-t\Delta}-\Pi_0$, one has

$$\|e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}-\Pi_0\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}^2=\|e^{-t\Delta}-\Pi_0\|_\tau,$$

where $\|\cdot\|\tau$ is defined in [1]. Hence our definition of having positive Novikov-Shubin is equivalent to that of [1]. Our arguments here is similar to the proof of [4, Theorem 7.7].

Lemma 2.6 suggests $[Q+B,e^{-t\Delta}]$ converges to zero. Indeed, we shall prove a stronger result, namely, $[Q+B,e^{-t\Delta}]$ decay polynomially in the $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}$-norm for all m.

Lemma 2.12. *Suppose there exists $\gamma>0$ such that $\|e^{-t\Delta}\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}\le C_m(t^{-\gamma})$, then there exists $C_m'>0$ such that*

$$\|[Q+B,e^{-t\Delta}]\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}=\|[Q+B,e^{-t\Delta}-\Pi_0]\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}\le C_m' t^{-\gamma_m}.$$

Proof. We follow the proof of [8, Lemma 2.6]. By Lemma 2.5, we get

$$[Q+B,\Delta]=(\Delta(B_1+B_2)+D_0(B_1-B_2)D_0)(\mathrm{id}-\Pi_0),$$

it follows that

$$\Pi_0[Q+B,e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}]=[Q+B,e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}]\Pi_0=0.$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned}[Q+B,e^{-t\Delta}]=&[Q+B,e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}]e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}+e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}[Q+B,e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}]\\ =&[Q+B,e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}](e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}-\Pi_0)+(e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}-\Pi_0)[Q+B,e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}].\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}$ and using Corollary 1.14 , Lemma 2.9, the claim follows. □

Remark 2.13. We regard Δ as an operator on $\wedge^\bullet H_\flat\otimes\wedge^\bullet V_\flat\otimes E_\flat$ that is $\Gamma^\infty(\wedge^\bullet H)$-linear. One may also regard Δ as an operator on $\wedge^\bullet V_\flat\otimes E_\flat$, that preserves the grading. Clearly, the trace norms, and hence of notion of Novikov-Shubin invariant are equivalent.

Theorem 2.14. *Suppose $\|e^{-t\Delta}-\Pi_0\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}\le C_0t^{-\gamma}$ for some $\gamma>0,C_0>0$. Then for any m, there exists $C_m''>0$ such that*

$$\|e^{-t\Delta}-\Pi_0\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}\le C_m'' t^{-\gamma},\quad\forall\, t>1.$$

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction. The case $m = 0$ is given. Suppose that for some m, $\|e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} \leq C_m t^{-\gamma}$. Consider $\|e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m+1}$.

Since Q is a first order differential operator, for any kernel $\psi \in \Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)^G$, $[Q, \psi]$ is also a kernel lying in $\Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)^G$, that is in particular given by a composition of the covariant derivatives $\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_\flat}, \dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{s}}, \dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{t}}$ and some tensors acting on ψ. Since $\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}$ is by definition the $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}$ norm of the m-th derivatives of ψ, elliptic regularity implies

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m+1} \leq \tilde{C}_m(\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} + \|D_0\psi\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} + \|\psi D_0\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} + \|[Q, \psi]\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}),$$

for some constants $\tilde{C}_m > 0$. Put $\psi = e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0$. The theorem then follows form the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_0(e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0)\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} =& \|(e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0)D_0\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} \\
\leq& \Big(\sum_{0\leq l\leq m} C'_{m,l}\|D_0(e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta} - \Pi_0)\|_{\mathrm{op}\,l}\Big)\|e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta} - \Pi_0\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} \\
\leq& \Big(\sum_{0\leq l\leq m} C'_{m,l}C^1_l\big(\frac{t}{2}\big)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big)C_m\big(\frac{t}{2}\big)^{-\gamma} \\
\|[Q, e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0]\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} \leq& \|[Q + B, e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0]\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} + \|[B, e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0]\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} \\
\leq& C'_m t^{-\gamma} + 2\Big(\sum_{0\leq l\leq m} C'_{m,l}\|B\|_{\mathrm{op}\,l}\Big)C_m t^{-\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we used Lemma 2.12 for the last inequality. □

3. Sobolev convergence

Let ∇^E be a flat connection on E. Define the grading operators on $\wedge^\bullet H' \otimes \wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E$

$$\aleph_\Omega|_{\wedge^q H' \otimes \wedge^{q'} V' \otimes E} := q, \quad \aleph|_{\wedge^q H' \otimes \wedge^{q'} V' \otimes E} := q'.$$

In this section, we consider the rescaled Bismut super-connection [2, Chapter 9.1]

$$\eth(t) := \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} t^{-\frac{\aleph_\Omega}{2}}(d + d^*)t^{\frac{\aleph_\Omega}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}\big(t^{\frac{1}{2}}(d_V + d_V^*) + (\nabla^{E_\flat} + (\nabla^{E_\flat})^*) + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Lambda_{\Theta^*} + \iota_\Theta)\big).$$

Denote

$$D_0 := -\frac{1}{2}(d_V - d_V^*), \quad \Omega_t := -\frac{1}{2}((\nabla^{E_\flat} - (\nabla^{E_\flat})^*) - \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2}(\Lambda_{\Theta^*} - \iota_\Theta), \quad D(t) := t^{\frac{1}{2}}D_0 + \Omega_t.$$

The curvature of $\eth(t)$ can be expanded in the form:

$$\eth(t)^2 = -D(t)^2 = t\Delta + t^{\frac{1}{2}}\Omega_t D_0 + t^{\frac{1}{2}}D_0\Omega_t + \Omega_t^2.$$

Definition 3.1. The heat kernel $e^{-D(t)^2}$ is defined by Duhamel's expansion:

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\eth(t)^2} = e^{D(t)^2} := e^{-t\Delta} + \sum_{n=1}^{\dim B} \int_{(r_0,\cdots,r_k)\in\Sigma^n} & e^{-r_0 t\Delta}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\Omega_t D_0 + t^{\frac{1}{2}}D_0\Omega_t + \Omega_t^2)e^{-r_1 t\Delta} \\
& \cdots (t^{\frac{1}{2}}\Omega_t D_0 + t^{\frac{1}{2}}D_0\Omega_t + \Omega_t^2)e^{-r_n t\Delta} d\Sigma^n
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Sigma^n := \{(r_0, r_1 \cdots, r_n) \in [0,1]^{n+1} : r_0 + \cdots + r_n = 1\}$.

We then follow [1, Section 4] to estimate the Hilbert-Schmit norms of $e^{-\eth(t)^2}$. Using Corollary 1.14 and Theorem 2.14, we observe that the arguments still hold if one replaces the operator and $\|\cdot\|_\tau$ norm respectively by $\sum_{0\leq l\leq m} C'_{m,l}\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{op}\,l}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}$ for any m. We conclude with the following analogue of [1, Theorem 4.1]:

Theorem 3.2. *For $k=0,1,2$ and any $m\in\mathbb{N}$,*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} D(t)^k e^{-\eth(t)^2} = \Pi_0(\Omega\Pi_0)^k e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}$$

in the $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}$-norm, where $\Omega := -\frac{\nabla^{E_\flat}-(\nabla^{E_\flat})^*}{2}$. Moreover, as $t\to\infty$,

$$\big\|D(t)^k e^{-\eth(t)^2} - \Pi_0(\Omega\Pi_0)^k e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}\big\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} = O(t^{-\gamma'})$$

for some $\gamma'>0$.

Outline of proof. Let $\gamma' := 1-(1+\frac{2\gamma}{\dim B+2})^{-1}$, $\bar r(t) := t^{-\gamma'}$. Fix $\bar t$ such that $\bar r(\bar t) < (\dim B+1)^{-1}$. Split the domain of integration $\Sigma^n = \bigcup_{I\neq\{0,\cdots,n\}} \Sigma^n_{\bar r,I}$, where

$$\Sigma^n_{\bar r,I} := \{(r_0,\cdots,r_n) : r_i\geq \bar r, \forall\, i\in I\}.$$

Define

$$K(t,n,I,c_0,\cdots c_n;a_1,\cdots a_n) := \int_{\Sigma^n_{\bar r,I}} (t^{\frac12}D_0)^{c_0} e^{-r_0 t\Delta} \prod_{i=1}^n (\Theta_t^{a_i}(t^{\frac12}D_0)^{c_i} e^{-r_i t\Delta}) d\Sigma^n,$$

for $c_i=0,1,2, a_j=1,2$. Then one has

$$e^{-\eth(t)^2} = e^{D(t)^2} = \sum K(t,n,I,c_0,\cdots c_n;a_1,\cdots a_n)$$

by grouping terms involving D_0 together.

By some elementary computations, one has the analogue of [1, Proposition 4.6, 4.7]:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} K(t,n,c_0,\cdots c_n;a_1,\cdots a_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n!}\Pi_0\Omega^{a_1}\Pi_0\cdots\Pi_0 & \text{if } I=\emptyset, c_0=\cdots=c_n=0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

in the $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}$-norm. Moreover, for t sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned}\|K(t,n,c_0,\cdots c_n;a_1,\cdots a_n) &- \lim_{t\to\infty} K(t,n,c_0,\cdots c_n;a_1,\cdots a_n)\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} \\ &\leq C\big(\bar s(t)^{-\frac{\dim B}{2}}(\frac{\bar s(t)t}{2})^{-\gamma} + \|\Pi_0\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}\bar s(t)^{\frac12}\big). \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

3.1. **Application: the $\mathcal{L}^2$ analytic torsion form.** Our main application of Theorem 3.2 is in establishing the smoothness and transgression formula of the $\mathcal{L}^2$ analytic torsion form. Here, we briefly recall the definitions.

On $\wedge^\bullet T^*M\otimes E\cong \wedge^\bullet H'\otimes\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes E$, define $\aleph_\Omega,\aleph$ to be the degree operators of $\wedge^\bullet H'\cong\pi^{-1}(\wedge^\bullet T^*B)$ and $\wedge^\bullet V'$ respectively.

Define

$$F^\wedge(t) := (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-\frac{\aleph_\Omega}{2}}\,\mathrm{str}_\Psi(2^{-1}\aleph(1+2D(t)^2)e^{-\eth(t)^2}).$$

Lemma 3.3. *One has the limits* [3, Theorem 3.21]

$$F^\wedge(t) = \begin{cases} \frac14\dim(Z)\,\mathrm{rk}(E)\,\mathrm{str}_\Psi(\Pi_0)+O(t) & \text{if } \dim(Z) \text{ odd} \\ O(t^{\frac12}) & \text{if } \dim(Z) \text{ even}\end{cases} \qquad \text{as } t\to 0$$

$$F^\wedge(t) = \frac12\,\mathrm{str}_\Psi(\aleph\Pi_0) \qquad \text{as } t\to\infty.$$

Finally, define

Definition 3.4. The $\mathcal{L}^2$ analytic torsion form is defined to be

$$\tau := \int_0^\infty -F^\wedge(t) + \frac{\mathrm{str}_\Psi(\aleph \Pi_0)}{2} + \big(\frac{\dim(Z)\,\mathrm{rk}(E)\,\mathrm{str}_\Psi(\Pi_0)}{4} - \frac{\mathrm{str}_\Psi(\aleph\Pi_0)}{2}\big)(1-2t)e^{-t}\frac{dt}{t}.$$

The integral is well defined since by Theorem 3.2 the integrand is $O(t^{-\gamma'-1})$.

Moreover,

Theorem 3.5. *The form τ is smooth, i.e. $\tau \in \Gamma^\infty(\wedge^\bullet T^*B)$.*

Proof. Using [2, Proposition 9.24], the derivatives of the t-integrand are bounded as $t \to 0$. It follows its integral over $[0,1]$ is smooth.

We turn to study the large time behavior. Consider $\mathrm{str}(2^{-1}\aleph(e^{-\eth(t)^2} - \Pi_0))$. Using the semi-group property, we can write

$$e^{-\eth(t)^2} = 2^{-\frac{\aleph_\Omega}{2}} e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} 2^{\frac{\aleph_\Omega}{2}}.$$

Also, since $\mathrm{str}(\aleph\Pi_0(\Omega\Pi_0)^{2j}) = \mathrm{str}([\aleph\Pi_0(\Omega\Pi_0), \Pi_0(\Omega\Pi_0)^{2j-1}]) = 0$ for any $j \geq 1$ one has

$$\mathrm{str}(\aleph\Pi_0) = \mathrm{str}(\aleph\Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}\Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{str}(2^{-1}\aleph(e^{-\eth(t)^2} - \Pi_0)) &= \mathrm{str}(2^{-1}\aleph(e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}\Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})) \\ &= \mathrm{str}\left(2^{-1}\aleph e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2}(e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})\right) \\ &\quad + \mathrm{str}\left(2^{-1}\aleph(e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})\Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now consider $\mathrm{str}_\Psi\left(2^{-1}\aleph e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2}(e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})\right)$. To shorten notations, denote $G := 2^{-1}\aleph e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2}(e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})$. Writing G as a convolution product, it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\int_{Z_x} \chi(x,z)\,\mathrm{str}(G(x,z,z))\mu_x(z)\Big| \\ &\quad = \int_{Z_x} \chi \Big|\,\mathrm{str}\Big(\frac{\aleph}{2}\int_{y\in Z_x} e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2}(x,z,y)(e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})(x,y,z)\mu_x(y)\Big)\Big|\mu_x(z) \\ &\quad \leq \frac{\dim Z}{2}\|e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2}\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}\|e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,0},\end{aligned}$$

where we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality twice. Since $\|e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2}\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}$ is bounded for t large (by triangle inequality), the expression above is $O(t^{-\gamma})$.

We turn to estimate its derivatives. For any vector field X on B,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^{TB}_X \mathrm{str}_\Psi(G) = &\int (L_{X^H}\chi(x,z))\,\mathrm{str}(G(x,z,z))\mu_x(z) \\ &+ \int \chi(x,z)(\nabla^{\pi^{-1}TB}_{X^H}\mathrm{str}(G(x,z,z)))\mu_x(z) \\ &+ \int \chi(x,z)\,\mathrm{str}(G(x,z,z))(L_{X^H}\mu_x(z)).\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating under the integral sign is valid because we knew a-prior the integrands are all $\mathcal{L}^1$. Since $L_{X^H}\mu_x(z)$ equals $\mu_x(z)$ multiplied by some bounded functions, it follows that the last term $\int \chi(x,z)\,\mathrm{str}(G(x,z,z))(L_{X^H}\mu_x(z))$ is $O(t^{-\gamma'})$.

For the first term, we write $L_{X^H}\chi(x,z) = \sum_{g\in G}(g^*\chi)(x,z)(L_{X^H}\chi)(x,z)$. The sum is finite because $L_{X^H}\chi$ is compactly supported. By G-invariance,

$$\int (g^*\chi)(x,z)\,\mathrm{str}(G(x,z,z))\mu_x(z) = \int \chi(x,z)\,\mathrm{str}(G(x,z,z))\mu_x(z).$$

Since $(L_{X^H}\chi)(x,z)$ is bounded, it follows that $\int (L_{X^H}\chi)(x,z)\,\mathrm{str}(G(x,z,z))\mu_x(z)$ is also $O(t^{-\gamma'})$.

As for the second term, we differentiate under the integral sign, then use the Leibniz rule to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\nabla^{\pi^{-1}TB}_{X^H}\,\mathrm{str}(G(x,z,z))| \\
&\quad\le \frac{\dim Z}{2}\Big(\int_{Z_x} \big|\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet H'\otimes\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes\hat{E}}_{X^H} e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2}(x,z,y)\big|\big|e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}(x,y,z)\big|\mu_x(y) \\
&\qquad + \int_{Z_x} \big|e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2}(x,z,y)\big|\big|\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet H'\otimes\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes\hat{E}}_{X^H}(e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})(x,y,z)\big|\mu_x(y) \\
&\qquad + \int_{Z_x} \big|e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2}(x,z,y)\big|\big|e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}(x,y,z)\big|\sup|L_{X^H}\mu|\mu_x(y)\Big) \\
&\Big|\int_{Z_x} \chi(x,z)\big(\nabla^{\pi^{-1}TB}_{X^H}\,\mathrm{str}(G(x,z,z))\big)\mu_x(z)\Big| \\
&\quad\le \frac{\dim Z}{2}\big(\|e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2}\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,1}\|e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,0} \\
&\qquad + \|e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2}\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}\|e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,1} \\
&\qquad + \sup|L_{X^H}\mu|\|e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2}\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,1}\|e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}\big) \\
&\quad= O(t^{-\gamma'}).
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly the above arguments can be repeated and one concludes that all derivatives of $\mathrm{str}_\Psi(G)$ are $O(t^{-\gamma})$.

By exactly the same arguments, we have as $t\to\infty$,

$$\mathrm{str}_\Psi\left(2^{-1}\aleph(e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})\Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}\right) = O(t^{-\gamma'}).$$

As for $\mathrm{str}_\Psi(2^{-1}\aleph(D(t)^2 e^{-\eth(t)^2}))$, one has $D(t)^2 = 2(2^{-\frac{\aleph_\Omega}{2}}D(\frac{t}{2})^2 2^{\frac{\aleph_\Omega}{2}})$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{str}_\Psi\left(\frac{\aleph}{2}(D(t)^2 e^{-\eth(t)^2})\right) &= \mathrm{str}_\Psi\left(\aleph(D(\frac{t}{2})^2 e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2}e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0(\Omega\Pi_0)^2 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})\right) \\
&= \mathrm{str}_\Psi\left(\aleph(D(\frac{t}{2})^2 e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0(\Omega\Pi_0)^2 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2}\right) \\
&\quad - \mathrm{str}_\Psi\left(\aleph\Pi_0(\Omega\Pi_0)^2 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}(e^{-\eth(\frac{t}{2})^2} - e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})\right)
\end{aligned}$$

which is also $O(t^{-\gamma'})$ as $t\to\infty$ by similar arguments.

Finally, since all derivatives of the t-integrand in Definition 3.4 is $\mathcal{L}^1$, derivatives of τ exists and equals differentiation under the t-integration sign. Hence we conclude that the torsion τ is smooth. □

Remark 3.6. In the acyclic determinant class case, the analogue of Remark 2.11 reads

$$\int_0^\infty \|e^{-t\Delta}\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}\frac{dt}{t}=\int_0^\infty \|e^{-t\Delta}\|_\tau\frac{dt}{t}<\infty$$

(note that $\Pi_0=0$ by hypothesis). Unlike having positive Novikov-Shubin invariant, the heat kernel is not of determinant class in $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}$.

Given a power series $f(x)=\sum a_jx^j$. For clarity, let h be the metric on $\wedge^\bullet V\otimes E$ we denote

$$f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes E},h):=\mathrm{str}\Big(\sum_j a_j\big(\frac{1}{2}(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes E}-(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes E})^*)\big)^j\Big)\in\Gamma^\infty(\wedge^\bullet T^*M)$$

$$f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes E},h)_{H^\bullet(Z,E)}:=\mathrm{str}_\Psi\Big(\sum_j a_j\big(\frac{1}{2}\Pi_0(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'_\flat\otimes E_\flat}-(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'_\flat\otimes E_\flat})^*)\Pi_0\big)^j\Big)\in\Gamma^\infty(\wedge^\bullet T^*B).$$

Note that the summations are only up to $\dim M$. Also, if $\dim Z=2n$ is even, define the Euler form

$$e(TZ):=\mathrm{tr}\Big(\frac{1}{n!}(-\frac{R^{TZ_x}}{2\pi})^n\Big),$$

where R^{TZ_x} is the Riemannian curvature.

A classical argument [3, 9, 1] then gives:

Corollary 3.7. *If $\dim Z=2n$ is even one has the transgression formula*

$$d\tau(x)=\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)e(TZ)f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes E})-f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes E})_{H^\bullet(Z,E)},$$

with $f(x)=xe^{x^2}$.

Now let h_l be a family of G-invariant metrics on $\wedge^\bullet V\otimes E$, $l\in[0,1]$. Define

$$\tilde f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes E},h_l):=\int_0^1(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{\frac{\aleph_\Omega}{2}}\,\mathrm{str}\Big((h_l)^{-1}\frac{dh_l}{dl}f'(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes E},h_l)\Big)dl,$$

and similarly for $\tilde f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes E},h_l)_{H^\bullet(Z,E)}$. Note that $f'(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes E},h_l)$ uses the adjoint connection with respect to h_l.

One has an anomaly formula [3, Theorem 3.24].

Lemma 3.8. *Modulo exact forms*

$$\begin{aligned}(17)\qquad \tau_1-\tau_0=&\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)\hat e(TZ,h_l)f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes E},h_0)\\&+\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)e(TZ,h_1)\tilde f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes E},h_l)-\tilde f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'_\flat\otimes E_\flat},h_l)_{H^\bullet(Z,E)},\end{aligned}$$

for any $\dim Z-1$ form $\hat e(TZ,h_l)$ such that $d\hat e(TZ,h_l)=e(TZ,h_1)-e(TZ,h_0)$.

In particular, the degree-0 part of Equation (17) is the anomaly formula for the $\mathcal{L}^2$-Ray-Singer analytic torsion, which is a special case of [11, Theorem 3.4].

Remark 3.9. Let $Z_0\to M_0\to B$ be a fiber bundle with compact fiber Z_0, $Z\to M\to B$ be the normal covering of the fiber bundle $Z_0\to M_0\to B$. i.e., $G=\pi_1(Z)$ acts fiber-wisely and $Z_0=Z/G$. Then one can define the Bismut-Lott and $\mathcal{L}^2$-analytic

torsion form $\tau_{M_0\to B}, \tau_{M_0\to B} \in \Gamma^\infty(\wedge^\bullet T^*B)$, and one has the respective transgression formulas

$$d\tau_{M_0\to B} = \int_{\pi_0^{-1}(x)} e(TZ_0) f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V_0'\otimes E_0}) - f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V_0'\otimes E_0})_{H^\bullet(Z_0,E_0)}$$

$$d\tau_{M\to B} = \int_{Z_x} \chi(x,z) e(TZ) f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes E}) - f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes E})_{H^\bullet(Z,E)}.$$

Suppose further that the DeRham cohomologies are trivial:

$$H^\bullet(Z_0, E|_{Z_0}) = H^\bullet_{\mathcal{L}^2}(Z, E|_Z) = \{0\}.$$

Then $d(\tau_{M\to B} - \tau_{M_0\to B}) = 0$. Hence $\tau_{M\to B} - \tau_{M_0\to B}$ defines some class in the DeRham cohomology of B. We also remark that this form was also mentioned in [1, Remark 7.5], as a weakly closed form.

References

[1] S. Azzali, S. Goette, and T. Schick. Large time limit and L^2 local index for families. preprint arXiv:1306.5659v1, 2013.

[2] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*. Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[3] J.M. Bismut and J. Lott. Flat bundles, direct images and higher real analytic torsion. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **8**(2):291–363, 1995.

[4] J.M. Bismut, X. Ma, and W. Zhang. Asymptotic torsion and toeplitz operators. preprint http://www.math.u-psud.fr/∼bismut/liste-prepub.html, 2011.

[5] D. Gong and M. Rothenberg. Analytic torsion forms on non-compact fiber bundles. preprint http://webdoc.sub.gwdg.de/ebook/serien/e/mpi_mathematik/1997/105.ps, 1996.

[6] J.L. Heitsch. Bismut super-connections and the Chern character for Dirac operators on foliated manifolds. *K-Theory*, **9**:507–528, 1995.

[7] J.L. Heitsch and C. Lazarov. Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck and torsion for foliations. *J. Geom. Anal.*, **12**(3):437–468, 2002.

[8] J.A. Alvarez Lopez and Y.A. Kordyukov. Long time behavior of leafwise heat flow for Riemannian foliations. *Compositio Math.*, **125**(2):129–153, 2001.

[9] X. Ma and W. Zhang. Eta-invariants, torsion forms and flat vector bundles. *Math. Ann.*, **340**:569–624, 2008.

[10] V. Nistor, A. Weinstein, and P. Xu. Pseudodifferential operators on differential groupoids. *Pac. J. Maths*, **189**(1):117–152, 1999.

[11] W. Zhang. An extended Cheeger-Müller theorem for covering spaces. *Topology*, **44**:1093–1131, 2005.